Abstract
Web browsers have become a de facto universal operating system, and JavaScript its instruction set. Unfortunately, running other languages in the browser is not generally possible. Translation to JavaScript is not enough because browsers are a hostile environment for other languages. Previous approaches are either non-portable or require extensive modifications for programs to work in a browser.

This paper presents DOPPIO, a JavaScript-based runtime system that makes it possible to run unaltered applications written in general-purpose languages directly inside the browser. DOPPIO provides a wide range of runtime services, including a file system that enables local and external (cloud-based) storage, an unmanaged heap, sockets, blocking I/O, and multiple threads. We demonstrate DOPPIO’s usefulness with two case studies: we extend Emscripten with DOPPIO, letting it run an unmodified C++ application in the browser with full functionality, and present DOPPIOJVM, an interpreter that runs unmodified JVM programs directly in the browser. While substantially slower than a native JVM (between 24× and 42× slower on CPU-intensive benchmarks in Google Chrome), DOPPIOJVM makes it feasible to directly reuse existing, non-compute-intensive code.
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1. Introduction
Web browsers have become an increasingly attractive platform for application developers. Browsers make it comparatively easy to deliver cross-platform applications, because they are effectively ubiquitous. Practically all computing platforms—from desktops and tablets to mobile phones—ship with web browsers. Browsers are also getting faster. Most now incorporate optimizing just-in-time compilers for JavaScript, and expose features like access to the GPU through WebGL and high-speed video chat via WebRTC. This combination of features makes it possible for browsers to host the kind of richly interactive applications that used to be restricted to native environments.

In effect, web browsers have become a de facto universal computing platform: its operating system is the browser environment, and its sole “instruction set” is JavaScript. However, running languages other than JavaScript in the browser is not generally possible.

There are numerous reasons why browser support for programming languages other than JavaScript would be desirable. JavaScript is a dynamically-typed, prototype-based language whose design contains numerous pitfalls for programmers. Problems with JavaScript have led language implementors to design new languages for the browser that overcome JavaScript’s shortcomings, but these solutions all require that programmers learn a new language. Programmers who prefer to program in other paradigms (e.g., functional, object-oriented) currently must abandon these or build hacks onto JavaScript to accommodate their needs. There is also a vast body of well-debugged, existing code written in general-purpose programming languages. Making it possible to reuse this code, rather than requiring that it all be re-written in JavaScript, would speed application development and reduce the risk of introducing errors. Translation, interpretation, or compilation of languages to JavaScript is necessary but not sufficient. Browsers lack many key abstractions that existing programming languages expect, impose significant limitations, and vary widely in their support for and compliance with standards:

- **Single-threaded Execution**: JavaScript is a single-threaded event-driven programming language with no support for interrupts. Events either execute to completion, or until they are killed by the browser’s watchdog thread because they took too long to finish.
- **Asynchronous-only APIs**: Browsers provide web applications with a rich set of functionality, but emerging APIs are exclusively asynchronous. Due to the limitations of JavaScript, it is not possible to create synchronous APIs from asynchronous APIs.
- **Missing OS Services**: Browsers do not provide applications with access to a file system abstraction. Instead, they offer a panoply of limited persistent storage mechanisms, making it difficult to manage large amounts of persistent data. Browsers also lack other OS services such as sockets.
- **Browser Diversity**: Users access the web from a wide range of browser platforms, operating systems, and devices. Each combination may have unique performance characteristics, differing support for JavaScript and Document Object Model (DOM) features, and outright bugs. This diversity makes it difficult to address any of the issues above without excluding a large portion of the web audience.
While substantially slower than a native JVM (between 24× and 42× slower on CPU-intensive benchmarks in Google Chrome), DOPPIOJVM provides acceptable performance for non-compute-intensive tasks, and has already been integrated into an educational website that interactively teaches students how to program in Java [21]. We also demonstrate DOPPIO’s generality by augmenting Emscripten [26] with DOPPIO and present a case study of porting a C++ game to the browser [27].

Finally, based on the insights learned from implementing DOPPIO and DOPPIOJVM, we propose several extensions to browsers that would greatly simplify and speed support for executing conventional languages [28].

Although previous work aims at supporting other languages than JavaScript in the browser, these all fall short. Conventional programming languages and their standard libraries expect the relatively rich execution environment that modern operating systems provide. The fact that browsers lack standard operating systems features like threads, file systems, and blocking I/O means that these projects cannot run existing programs without substantial modifications (§2.1).

This paper identifies and describes how to resolve the impedance mismatch between the browser and the native environment that conventional programming languages expect. We present DOPPIO, a runtime system that makes it possible to execute unmodified applications written in conventional programming languages inside the browser. Its execution environment overcomes the limitations of the JavaScript single-threaded event-driven runtime model (§3) by providing language implementations with emulated threads that support suspending and resuming execution to enable blocking I/O and multithreading in the source language (§4). To support standard library and language features, DOPPIO provides common operating system abstractions including a Unix-based file system abstraction (providing local and cloud-based storage), network sockets, and an unmanaged heap for dynamic memory allocation (§5). All of this support serves as an abstraction layer over the many differences between browsers, letting code run unmodified across Google Chrome, Firefox, Safari, Opera, and Internet Explorer.

We demonstrate the feasibility of using DOPPIO through two case studies. We present the DOPPIOJVM, a prototype yet robust implementation of a Java Virtual Machine interpreter on top of DOPPIO that can run complex unmodified JVM programs in the browser without plugin support (§6). We show that the combination of DOPPIO and the DOPPIOJVM makes it possible to run full, unmodified JVM applications inside a wide range of browsers (§7). While substantially slower than a native JVM (between 24× and 42× slower on CPU-intensive benchmarks in Google Chrome), DOPPIOJVM provides acceptable performance for non-compute-intensive tasks, and has already been integrated into an educational website that interactively teaches students how to program in Java [21]. We also demonstrate DOPPIO’s generality by augmenting Emscripten [26] with DOPPIO and present a case study of porting a C++ game to the browser (§7).

Finally, based on the insights learned from implementing DOPPIO and DOPPIOJVM, we propose several extensions to browsers that would greatly simplify and speed support for executing conventional languages (§8).

Table 1. Feature comparison of systems that execute existing code inside the browser. The asterisk and dagger indicate limitations that prevent execution on browsers used by over half of the web population today: "*" denotes a feature that requires a (non-default) backwards-compatibility flag in order to work in those browsers, while a "†" indicates that the feature will not work for them [3]. DOPPIO and the DOPPIOJVM implement all of these features in a cross-platform approach, letting it run unmodified programs in the vast majority of browsers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>DOPPIOJVM</th>
<th>JVM</th>
<th>IL2JS</th>
<th>Racket</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OS SERVICES</td>
<td>File system (browser-based) ($5.1)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unmanaged heap ($5.2)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sockets ($5.3)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXECUTION SUPPORT</td>
<td>Automatic event segmentation ($4.1)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Synchronous API support ($4.2)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multithreading support ($4.3)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Works entirely in the browser</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LANGUAGE SERVICES</td>
<td>Exceptions ($6.6)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reflection</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contributions
The contributions of this paper are the following:

1. We identify the execution support and operating system abstractions that conventional programming languages and their runtime libraries require, yet are not present in browsers.

2. We describe how to emulate these resources in the browser on top of JavaScript, and implement them in a runtime system called DOPPIO.

3. As a proof-of-concept, we port the Java Virtual Machine to the browser using DOPPIO, allowing multiple languages and unmodified programs written in those languages to function completely in the browser.

4. We extend the Emscripten system with DOPPIO, making it possible to run a broader range of C/C++ applications inside the browser without modification.

5. We propose several unintrusive browser extensions that would greatly simplify supporting other programming languages inside the browser.

2. Related Work
While DOPPIO is the first runtime system and DOPPIOJVM the first language implementation to allow unmodified code written in a conventional programming language to execute across browsers, previous projects have (partially) implemented existing languages in the browser. Table 1 presents an overview of the features implemented by some well-known projects; only DOPPIO and the DOPPIOJVM implement all of the features required to run unaltered programs.

2.1 Conventional Languages
One of the most prominent and earliest implementations of conventional languages inside the browser is Google Web Toolkit (GWT), a source-to-source compiler from Java to JavaScript [11]. The goal of GWT is to let web developers write AJAX web applications using a restricted subset of Java. GWT developers can write small widgets and page components in Java which GWT compiles directly to JavaScript. However, GWT does not support compiling arbitrary Java programs to JavaScript. Using GWT imposes a number of limitations, in addition to the usual difficulties of statically compiling Java. With GWT, widgets must be coded carefully to avoid long-running functions that may make the web page unresponsive,
programs can only be single-threaded, and most Java libraries are unavailable. GWT has its own class library that is modeled after the APIs available in the web browser. This class library emulates only a limited subset of the classes available in the Java Class Library, including essential Java data structures, interfaces, and exceptions [3].

Mozilla Research’s Emscripten project lets developers compile applications from the LLVM Intermediate Representation to JavaScript so they can run in the browser [25]. Emscripten primarily supports C and C++ applications, though in principle it can support any code compiled into LLVM’s IR. Emscripten emulates a number of core operating system services such as the heap and the file system, and provides partial graphics and audio support. However, long-running applications freeze the webpage because Emscripten does not automatically convert the program into finite-duration events to prevent blocking browser events (see Section 3 for details). Emscripten also does not support multithreaded applications, so each application “thread” must run to termination before another program code can be executed; yielding to other “threads” is not possible. As a result, program event handlers for mouse and keyboard events will not fire—that is, the browser will freeze—unless the application is completely rewritten in an event-driven manner to conform to the browser environment. Finally, Emscripten does not emulate synchronous source language functions like the file system API in terms of the asynchronous APIs available in the browser, which prevents applications from operating on files or updating the display with the expected semantics. Alon Zakai, the lead developer of Emscripten, specifies that “JavaScript main loops must be written in an asynchronous way: A callback for each frame”, and that “if you do want [synchronous display updates] in a game you port, you’d need to refactor them to be asynchronous” [25].

Mozilla Research’s ARM.js project provides language implementations with a stripped-down subset of JavaScript that, when coupled with explicit browser support, removes garbage collection overhead and allows the program to be compiled ahead-of-time (rather than just-in-time) [4]. To accomplish this, ARM.js applications do not use JavaScript objects at all; instead, they manipulate binary structs on its emulated unmanaged heap. As it is a subset of JavaScript, ARM.js applications are still restricted by JavaScript’s single-threaded event-driven runtime model (see Section 3). Thus, applications ported to ARM.js face the same runtime-related issues as those ported to JavaScript.

Fournet et al. describe a verified compiler that compiles an ML-like language called F* to JavaScript [6]. The project used the λJS JavaScript semantics to formally verify the correctness of the compiler’s transformations [12]. However, as this compiler is for a new ML-like language and not for an existing language, it cannot be used to compile and run existing programs in the browser. Furthermore, this compiler does not provide support for any operating system abstractions.

Microsoft’s IL2JS compiles .NET Common Intermediate Language (CIL) into JavaScript [17]. This project can compile arbitrary .NET programs into JavaScript, but these programs cannot take advantage of operating system features such as the file system, the unmanaged heap, or standard input and output, since IL2JS does not implement any of the native methods in the .NET Base Class Library (BCL). As with other systems described above, any long-running programs compiled with IL2JS will freeze the browser, since IL2JS does not automatically convert programs into a series of finite-duration events.

Yoo et al. describe WeScheme, a hybrid system that makes it possible to run Racket code in the browser [23]. WeScheme comprises a compiler server responsible for compiling Racket code into JavaScript, and a JavaScript-based runtime system that copes with many of the drawbacks of the browser environment that DOPPIO overcomes. WeScheme does not emulate operating system services such as the file system or the unmanaged heap, and lacks support for many Racket language features, including reflection and certain primitive functions.

The Native Client (NaCl), Portable Native Client (PNaCl), and Xax projects let web sites execute sandboxed native code in an efficient manner [2, 5]. NaCl and Xax applications are distributed in machine code form, and are not portable across architectures; the web page must provide a precompiled version of the software for each architecture. PNaCl overcomes this limitation via an architecture-independent bytecode format. However, PNaCl does not support C++ exception handling, dynamic linking, or the most commonly used implementation of the standard library — glibc [9]. All three solutions completely circumvent the JavaScript engine, and thus require explicit browser support to function. These systems provide limited interoperability with JavaScript; as a result, programs running in these systems typically operate as black boxes on web pages, much like Java applets. Unlike these systems, DOPPIO can execute unmodified programs in any modern web browser by taking advantage of its existing JavaScript engine.

By contrast with the systems above, DOPPIO provides a complete platform that makes it possible to run unaltered applications written in conventional programming languages across browsers. DOPPIO ensures that the web page remains responsive regardless of the length of any computation, supports multithreaded applications, and implements the full range of required runtime and operating system abstractions, including synchronous I/O and a file system. The DOPPIOJVM supports running arbitrary, unmodified JVM programs, and supports access to common operating system features through DOPPIO.

2.2 New Languages

Several new languages have been proposed for the browser. Google has created Dart, a language that can be compiled to JavaScript or executed on a custom VM [7]. A number of so-called transpilers like CoffeeScript provide a convenient layer of syntactic sugar over JavaScript; CoffeeScript’s motto is “it’s just JavaScript” [13]. TypeScript is a typed superset of JavaScript from Microsoft that lets programmers annotate JavaScript programs with types, classes, and interfaces [19]. The TypeScript compiler performs type checking before performing a direct translation into JavaScript. DOPPIO itself is written in TypeScript.

These languages let developers write web applications using an alternative syntax to JavaScript, and compile directly to JavaScript in a straightforward manner. As a result, these languages face many of the same challenges as JavaScript for application development.

2.3 OS Approaches

In recent years, a number of operating systems have appeared that use a modified browser as the exclusive platform for applications. FirefoxOS is a Firefox-based operating system for mobile devices that only supports JavaScript and HTML based applications. ChromeOS is a Google Chrome-based operating system that takes the same approach as FirefoxOS, but adds support for Native Client applications. Both expose additional APIs to access OS-specific components; applications tailored to these environments can use them for additional functionality. As all applications for these platforms must be written in JavaScript or compiled to Native Client, they either suffer from the execution problems outlined in Section 3 or are non-portable across browsers.

The Illinois Browser Operating System (IBOS) tightly couples the browser with the operating system to safely sandbox web pages from native applications and to enable the development of new browser security policies [20]. Rather than providing a path for bringing existing applications to execute in the browser as JavaScript applications, IBOS lets existing applications run...
in a native sandbox that exposes a UNIX compatibility layer. In
other words, applications are effectively virtualized inside a native
environment.

3. Background: Browser Execution Model

This section provides detailed background on the browser envi-
ronment and JavaScript, focusing on their characteristics and id-
sosyncrasies that make it impossible to directly execute applications
written in conventional programming languages inside the browser.
The following sections describe how DOPPIO overcomes these limi-
tations.

3.1 The Execution Model

The JavaScript execution model in the browser is similar to stan-
dard GUI application development: JavaScript programs are single-
threaded and completely event driven. That is, JavaScript programs
execute as a sequence of finite-duration events that block UI inter-
actions. Popular GUI toolkits for other languages, such as Swing,
Windows Forms, and Windows Presentation Foundation (WPF),
operate in a similar fashion; any computation performed in response
to an event blocks all UI repainting and interaction.

Unfortunately, many applications written in conventional lan-
guages do not fit this model; that is, they do not decompose naturally
into finite chunks of computation, or they rely on multiple simultane-
ous threads of execution. Even when an application does decompose
into finite chunks of computation, there is still a problem: the run-
ning time of these finite chunks must be limited depending on the
browser and the performance of the platform running it. Browsers
stop scripts that make it unresponsive to user input for too long (e.g.,
5 seconds) due to long-running events. There are no mechanisms
for saving execution state to the heap or for performing meaningful
stack introspection. As a result, long-running tasks cannot “pause”
themselves for later execution (i.e., block) unless they do not rely
on stack state or if the programmer manually performs “stack rip-
ing” \( \text{\Pi} \) to convert the application into continuation-passing style.
These issues raise significant barriers to bringing existing applica-
tions into the web environment, which typically expect a more
traditional execution environment.

3.2 Asynchronicity

Most input and output functionality in the browser environment can
only be accessed through asynchronous APIs. An asynchronous
function receives a callback function as an argument, which it will
later invoke with the requested information. Due to JavaScript’s ex-
ecution model, callback invocation occurs as an event; the event
will not execute until the JavaScript thread has finished processing
all events that occur before it. The JavaScript application cannot
block waiting for the event to return, and it cannot introspect on
waiting events to process an event at an earlier time. The application
must stop executing—that is, complete all processing—in order to
let the JavaScript thread process waiting events.

As a result, it is impossible to emulate a synchronous function
call using an asynchronous function call. Any functionality available
in the browser solely through asynchronous means can never be
emulated through synchronous functions. This limitation severely
restricts the class of applications that can be brought into the web
environment with minimal changes.

As a concrete example of how serious this restriction is, consider
the following C++ application. This example does not map cleanly
into the browser because it relies on synchronous keyboard input,
whereas the browser only exposes asynchronous keyboard events:

```c++
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

int main () {
    char name[256];
    cin >> name;
    cout << "Please enter your name: ";
    cout << "Your name is " << name << endl;
    return 0;
}
```

To port an application like this to JavaScript, in addition to
changing the requisite library calls, the application would need to
be broken up into separate events that can be assigned to callbacks:

```javascript
function main() {
    var t = document.getElementById('terminal');
    t.innerHTML = "Please enter your name: ";
    var name = "";
    t.onkeypress = function(e) {
        if (e.charCode === 13) {
            t.innerHTML = "\n" + e.charCode + "\n";
            t.onkeypress = null;
        } else {
            var c = String.fromCharCode(e.charCode);
            name += c;
            t.innerHTML += c;
        }
    }
}
```

This case is reasonably straightforward to port, but this type of
transformation can become unmanageably complex when blocking
is invoked deep within the program. The program must then some-
how postpone execution to free up the JavaScript thread until after
the callback terminates.

Unfortunately, many browser features, including binary file
downloads, are restricted to asynchronous APIs. As a result, it
becomes difficult to port applications into the browser that expect to
use these features synchronously.

3.3 WebWorkers and their Limitations

One apparent solution to this issue is a browser feature known as
WebWorkers. WebWorkers let browser applications offload compu-
tation to a separate thread of execution. Unlike threads in other lan-
guages, WebWorkers do not share any memory with the JavaScript
thread that spawned them. Instead, the only way the JavaScript
thread and WebWorkers can communicate is via an asynchronous
two-way communication channel that allows either thread to send
a message to the other. These messages are processed using a regis-
tered callback.

WebWorker execution proceeds much like the main JavaScript
thread. However, WebWorkers have no direct access to user input or
to elements on the web page, so event execution does not block user
input or GUI repainting. As a result, WebWorkers are well suited
for long-running tasks.

Unfortunately, WebWorkers do not solve the problems described
above. If a script executing in a WebWorker relies on mid-execution
input, it must receive that information from the main JavaScript
thread through its asynchronous message-passing interface. Web-
Workers also do not enable true shared-memory multithreading in
the browser, as there is no shared state among workers and the main
JavaScript thread.

4. The DOPPIO Execution Environment

As Section 2 explains, it is not possible to perform a direct translation of
arbitrary code into JavaScript for execution in the web browser
because of issues with the event-driven browser execution model and
the semantics of asynchronous JavaScript APIs. The program must
either be extensively modified to deal with the differing semantics,
or it must execute in a different execution environment that emulates
the source language semantics that it expects.

DOPPIO takes the latter approach. In this section, we explain
how the DOPPIO’s entirely JavaScript-based execution environment
To perform this task, DOPPIO implements multithreading by exploiting the fact that programs executing in DOPPIO maintain an explicit representation of their stack. Since JavaScript lacks a mechanism for preempting execution, multithreading is necessarily cooperative from the JavaScript point of view. However, as language implementations must voluntarily specify valid context switches to DOPPIO, the semantics of multithreading may be preemptive in the source language (as in the Java Virtual Machine).

DOPPIO provides language implementations with a mechanism for switching threads, which is a simple variation of the suspend-and-resume functionality described in Section 4.1. DOPPIO maintains a “thread pool” — essentially an array of call stacks. When the language implementation determines that it is time for a context switch, DOPPIO saves the call stack of the currently running thread into this array, and chooses another thread to resume. Language implementations can provide a scheduling function that determines which thread to resume. By default, DOPPIO resumes an arbitrary thread from the thread pool that is marked as “ready”.

4.2 Simulating Blocking with Asynchronous APIs

As stated earlier, it is not possible to emulate a synchronous JavaScript API in terms of an asynchronous JavaScript API. However, it is possible to emulate a synchronous API in the source language in terms of asynchronous JavaScript APIs, and to implement multithreading.

4.3 Multithreading Support

DOPPIO implements multithreading by exploiting the fact that programs executing in DOPPIO maintain an explicit representation of their stack. Since JavaScript lacks a mechanism for preempting execution, multithreading is necessarily cooperative from the JavaScript point of view. However, as language implementations must voluntarily specify valid context switches to DOPPIO, the semantics of multithreading may be preemptive in the source language (as in the Java Virtual Machine).

DOPPIO provides language implementations with a mechanism for switching threads, which is a simple variation of the suspend-and-resume functionality described in Section 4.1. DOPPIO maintains a “thread pool” — essentially an array of call stacks. When the language implementation determines that it is time for a context switch, DOPPIO saves the call stack of the currently running thread into this array, and chooses another thread to resume. Language implementations can provide a scheduling function that determines which thread to resume. By default, DOPPIO resumes an arbitrary thread from the thread pool that is marked as “ready”.

To do so, it first creates an anonymous function — the resumption callback— that captures the call stack in a closure and that contains the logic needed to resume the program. It then passes the function to an asynchronous browser mechanism that will invoke it later. Various browsers provide different mechanisms that DOPPIO can exploit for this task; we describe these in Section 6.3.
4.4 Browser Mechanisms for Quick Resumptions

To efficiently implement the suspend-and-resume mechanism described in Section 4.1, DOPPIO needs an asynchronous browser API that is able to insert the resumption callback into the JavaScript event queue as quickly as possible. However, most browsers lack an explicit mechanism for this use case. Below, we describe the options available to DOPPIO; it uses the best choice available in the browser executing it.

setTimeout is commonly used for delaying a function’s execution by a certain number of milliseconds. setTimeout is implemented by delaying the placement of the callback event to the back of the JavaScript event queue by at least the specified delay. However, if the specified delay is 0, its specification dictates a minimum delay of 4ms, which would result in unacceptable performance [29].

sendMessage is a mechanism for sending string-based messages to other open windows or tabs. The JavaScript application must register a global event handler to process these messages. This function is a better option for DOPPIO, as it places a message event on the back of the JavaScript event queue immediately. In most browsers, DOPPIO uses this mechanism to implement suspend-and-resume. Since it uses string-based messages, the DOPPIO execution environment generates unique string IDs for each resumption callback, and maintains a map from IDs to callbacks. When DOPPIO receives a message from itself through this interface, it calls the relevant resumption function through the map.

Unfortunately, sendMessage is synchronous in Internet Explorer 8; messages sent through sendMessage immediately trigger the message handler. For IE8, DOPPIO uses setTimeout instead.

setImmediate is a mechanism for immediately placing an event to the back of the JavaScript event queue with no delay. This mechanism is ideal for DOPPIO, as it has the exact semantics required to implement suspend-and-resume. As this time, Internet Explorer 10 is the only browser that implements this API, although efforts are underway to make it a standard [18].

5. DOPPIO Operating System Services

The web browser lacks a number of core operating system features that existing programs depend on, such as the file system, access to unmanaged memory, and network sockets. As a result, these abstractions must be implemented in terms of the resources available in the browser so that arbitrary programs can run in the web environment. This section outlines how DOPPIO implements these abstractions.

5.1 File System

Many existing programs depend on the presence of a file system to persist state, but browsers do not provide such a facility. Instead, they provide a hodgepodge of persistent storage mechanisms with different storage formats, restrictions, compatibilities across browsers, and intended use cases. Furthermore, many do not expose synchronous interfaces, making it impossible to implement a blocking file system on top of them. Table 1 illustrates the properties and compatibility of a subset of these mechanisms.

However, by combining the execution environment outlined in Section 4 with a unified asynchronous file-based storage abstraction, DOPPIO can provide existing programs with the synchronous file system semantics they expect, with high compatibility across browsers. This approach requires three primary components: (1) a mechanism for manipulating and interpreting binary file data, (2) an implementation of this unified file system API, and (3) a mechanism for defining different “file system” backends for each persistent storage solution, including cloud storage. Figure 2 displays an overview of the DOPPIO file system. We describe these three components below.

Binary Data in the Browser. Because it is a high-level language, JavaScript does not offer extensive support for manipulating binary data. Some browsers contain an API for natively downloading and manipulating binary data, called “Typed Arrays”. Others lack this functionality, and can only download binary data as a JavaScript string. All browsers lack a mechanism for converting between JavaScript strings and binary data, which is required to make use of many of the string-based persistent storage mechanisms in the browser.

To address these deficiencies and inconsistencies, DOPPIO’s file system implements the Node JS Buffer module in the browser. Buffer provides applications with a comprehensive mechanism for manipulating a binary buffer of data. It allows applications to read and write unsigned integers, signed integers, and floating-point numbers of various sizes. It also contains a mechanism for reading and writing binary string data in various formats (ASCII, UTF-8, UTF-16, UCS-2, BASE64, and HEX).

DOPPIO’s implementation of Buffer can either be backed by typed arrays if the browser has support for them, or by a regular JavaScript array of numbers. The string conversion functionality present in the Buffer class serves double-duty as a centralized mechanism that any file system backend can use to read from and write to string-based persistent storage mechanisms. In light of this fact, our Buffer implementation supports a special “binary string” format that efficiently packs 2 bytes of data into each JavaScript UTF-16 character; this functionality is only available in browsers that do not perform validity checks on JavaScript strings, as a number of 2 byte sequences are not valid UTF-16 characters. For other browsers, this string format reverts to storing a single byte per character.

Unified File System API. To provide language implementations with a familiar and consistent file system API, DOPPIO emulates the Node JS file system module, fs, inside the browser. fs is a light JavaScript wrapper around Unix file system calls, like open and stat. As a result, most languages’ file system APIs map cleanly onto its functionality.

DOPPIO also emulates two other Node modules that are closely related to the file system module: path and process. path contains useful path string manipulation functions. process encapsulates miscellaneous environment features; DOPPIO only implements the functionality required to emulate changing the current working directory, which programs may rely upon to resolve relative file paths.
The original Node fs module exposes two variants of its API: a synchronous and an asynchronous version. Since we are unable to provide a synchronous JavaScript interface for many persistent storage mechanisms, our emulated fs module only guarantees the availability of the asynchronous interface for any given backend. Language implementations can combine our asynchronous fs module with the synchronous source language API support outlined in Section 4.2 to provide existing programs with the synchronous file system API they normally expect. We describe this process for the JVM in Section 6.3, where we discuss the implementation of DOPPIO JVM.

To better take advantage of JavaScript’s strengths, the fs API deviates from the Unix standard in one important way: DOPPIO’s file descriptors are actual objects. This approach simplifies the implementation of separate backends, which we discuss next.

**Backend API.** A backend for the file system API only needs to implement nine methods that correspond to standard Unix file system commands: rename, stat, open, unlink, rmdir, mkdir, readdir, close, sync. A backend can optionally also support chmod, chown, utimes, link, symlink, and readlink. The unified file system API handles standardizing arguments to these methods, throwing syntax errors when appropriate, and simulating redundant API functions in terms of these core functions; this service dramatically reduces the amount of logic that each file system needs to implement.

The DOPPIO file system provides backends with a number of useful utility classes: an index that any backend can use to cache directory listings and files, a standard file implementation that loads the entire file into memory and implements sync-on-close semantics, and the standard Buffer module for manipulating binary file data. However, a file system is not required to use any of these utilities; each has complete freedom to implement the internal data structures in any way so long as it consistently implements the backend API.

Via the utility classes, a file system needs to implement just nine methods to provide a new file system backend with full-featured read/write functionality, NFS-style sync-on-close semantics, and files that are completely loaded into memory before they can be operated on. This approach makes it possible to quickly build new file system backends.

Unlike Unix, DOPPIO uses objects to represent file descriptors. In addition to being a natural design decision for an object-oriented language, these objects let separate file system backends share core file manipulation logic, which determines the syncing and prefetching strategy for the file system.

Using this backend API, we have implemented backends for five separate file storage mechanisms, which can be seen in Figure 2. Two are backed by different browser-local storage mechanisms described in Table 2, one provides temporary in-memory storage, one offers read-only access to files served by the web server, and one provides access to Dropbox cloud storage.

### Table 2. Comparison of persistent storage mechanisms available in the browser. Note that this is only a partial listing – we do not include popular storage options enabled through native plugins, such as Flash or Silverlight; nor do we list the numerous cloud storage options. **Synchronous** describes whether or not the mechanism exposes a synchronous interface in the main JavaScript thread. **Compatibility** illustrates the mechanism’s compatibility across the current desktop browser market.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Storage Format</th>
<th>Synchronous</th>
<th>Maximum Size</th>
<th>Compatibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STANDARDIZED</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cookies</td>
<td>String key/value pairs</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>4KB</td>
<td>Over 99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>localStorage</td>
<td>String key/value pairs</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>5MB</td>
<td>~ 90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IndexedDB</td>
<td>Object database</td>
<td></td>
<td>User-specified</td>
<td>&lt; 50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEFUNCT</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>1MB</td>
<td>&lt; 40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>userBehavior</td>
<td>String key/value pairs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web SQL</td>
<td>SQL database</td>
<td></td>
<td>User-specified</td>
<td>&lt; 25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FileSystem</td>
<td>Binary blobs</td>
<td></td>
<td>User-specified</td>
<td>&lt; 20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mounting File Systems.** DOPPIO’s emulated fs module is only responsible for interacting with a single root file system. However, a number of systems may want to mount multiple file systems in a Unix-style directory tree. This would provide programs with a convenient mechanism for transferring files to different backends, or for implementing an in-memory temporary file system that emulates /tmp.

To facilitate this use case, DOPPIO provides a standard MountableFileSystem that handles performing operations across different file system backends. This file system simply uses the standard backend API to facilitate these interactions; as a result, it will be compatible with any new file systems that are implemented in the future, including cloud storage backends.

### 5.2 Unmanaged Heap

Programs use the unmanaged heap either to perform unsafe memory operations (in managed languages), or as the source of dynamically allocated memory (in unmanaged languages). DOPPIO emulates the unmanaged heap using a straightforward first-fit memory allocator that operates on JavaScript arrays. Each element in the array is a 32-bit signed integer, which represents 32 bits of data. This approach is convenient because JavaScript only supports bit operations on signed 32-bit integers. When an application calls an API method to write data to the unmanaged heap, DOPPIO converts the data into 32-bit chunks and stores it into the array in little endian format; we chose little endian in order to be consistent with DOPPIO’s alternative Typed Array heap implementation, which necessarily uses little endian. When the data is later retrieved, DOPPIO decodes it back into its original form.

Due to the encoding/decoding process, data stored to and read from DOPPIO’s heap are actually copied; updates must be kept in sync according to the language’s semantics.

**Typed Arrays.** Modern browsers support typed arrays that operate on a fixed-size ArrayBuffer object. The data in the ArrayBuffer can be interpreted as an array of various signed, unsigned, and floating point data types by initializing a typed array of the appropriate type with the ArrayBuffer. As a result, DOPPIO can use typed arrays to efficiently convert between numeric types.

Note that typed arrays are little endian; this detail is not configurable. DOPPIO uses ArrayBuffer objects for its heap when available to take advantage of these simple numeric conversions.

### 5.3 TCP Sockets

For security reasons, browsers do not provide JavaScript applications with direct access to network sockets. Instead, modern browsers provide a feature called WebSockets that enable JavaScript applications to make outgoing full-duplex TCP connections with WebSocket servers. For security reasons, JavaScript applications cannot accept incoming WebSocket connections.

Newly-opened WebSockets perform a standardized handshake that “promote” an HTTP connection to the WebSocket server.
WebSocket connections through a Flash applet in older browsers. Websockify program provides a solution for the server end of the WebSocket connections out-of-the-box. To demonstrate D variables, and a reference to the method that the stack frame belongs to. The call stack is simply an array of these stack frame objects. A module to appropriately download and parse JVM class files. In particular, DOPPIO JVM uses a file system backend that is backed by dynamic file downloads to make the entire Java Class Library available in the browser. When the class loader opens a class file for reading, the file system backend launches an asynchronous download request for the particular file to load it into memory. The current implementation does not prevent the starvation that can occur if a running thread never reaches one of these context switch points. That said, DOPPIO JVM supports a wide range of complex multithreaded programs, some of which we evaluate in Section 6.4. We plan to switch to a more general mechanism, such as switching threads each time the JVM invokes the DOPPIO suspend-and-resume mechanism.

6.3 Native Methods

The Java Class Library exposes JVM interfaces to a wide variety of native functionality, such as the file system, unsafe memory operations, and network connections. These methods cannot be implemented using JVM bytecodes, and are marked as “native”.

DOPPIO JVM implements a wide variety of these native methods directly in JavaScript. The methods corresponding to the file system API use the DOPPIO file system, the methods corresponding to unsafe memory operations use the DOPPIO heap, and the methods corresponding to network connections use DOPPIO sockets. When a native method needs to use an asynchronous browser API, DOPPIO JVM uses the suspend-and-resume mechanism in the manner described in Section 6.4 to “pause” execution until the browser triggers the resumption callback. In this way, the native methods retain their JVM-level synchronous semantics.

Occasionally, JVM programs define and invoke their own native methods written in C, C++, or assembly through the Java Native Interface (JNI). In order to run in DOPPIO JVM, these native methods will need to be reimplemented in JavaScript and registered with DOPPIO JVM in the same manner as Java Class Library native methods.

6.4 Class Loading

When a bytecode instruction references a class for the first time, the JVM invokes a complex dynamic class loading process to resolve the reference to a class definition. This process is specified in Chapter 5 of the JVM specification [15]. However, the class loading mechanism described in the specification assumes the presence of a file system. To resolve a class reference, the class loader is required to check the folders and JAR archive files specified on the class path for the class’s representative .class file. In addition, decoding these class file definitions requires functionality that can convert the binary representations of various numeric formats and a standard string format into JavaScript numbers and strings. Neither of these features are available in standard browser environments.

The DOPPIO JVM class loader uses the DOPPIO file system and its Buffer module to appropriately download and parse JVM class files. In particular, DOPPIO JVM uses a file system backend that is backed by dynamic file downloads to make the entire Java Class Library available in the browser. When the class loader opens a class file for reading, the file system backend launches an asynchronous download request for the particular file to load it into memory before passing it to the class loader for further execution. This design prevents DOPPIO JVM from loading unneeded class files into memory or browser-local persistent storage before execution.

6.5 Unsafe Memory Operations

The sun.misc.Unsafe API lets the JVM perform unsafe memory operations via access to an unmanaged heap. The OpenJDK Java Class Library requires this API, which it uses to determine the underlying system’s endianness at startup. DOPPIO JVM uses DOPPIO’s unmanaged heap implementation to provide this functionality to JVM programs via the same API.

6.2 Multithreading

DOPPIO JVM uses DOPPIO’s “thread pool” to emulate multiple JVM threads. DOPPIO JVM checks for waiting threads at fixed context switch points, such as JVM monitor checks, atomic operations, and any other form of lock-checking.
We evaluate DoppioJVM’s completeness and performance on a set of real and unmodified complex JVM programs across a wide variety of browsers. We compare DoppioJVM’s performance to Oracle’s HotSpot JVM interpreter provided with OpenJDK, which is able to run JVM programs in the browser using an applet plugin. While Section 2 describes a variety of systems that bring existing programming languages into the browser, these systems are unable to run our benchmarks, so we are unable to compare their performance to DoppioJVM.

Our benchmarks and their respective workloads are as follows: javap (4KLOC) is the Java disassembler. We run javap on the compiled class files of javac, which comprises 491 class files. We use the version of javap and the class files of javac that ship with OpenJDK 6. javac (44KLOC) is the Java compiler. We run javac on the 19 source files of javac. We use the version of javac that comes bundled with OpenJDK 6, and the source of javac from the same bundle. Rhino (57KLOC) is an implementation of the JavaScript language on the JVM. We run Rhino 1.7 on the recursive and binary-trees programs from the SunSpider 0.9.1 benchmark suite. Kawa-Scheme (121KLOC) is an implementation of the Scheme language on the JVM. We evaluate Kawa-Scheme 1.13 on the nqueens algorithm with input 8.

Our benchmark computer is a Mac Mini running OS X 10.8.4 with a 4-core 2GHz Intel Core i7 processor and 8GB of 1333 MHz DDR3 RAM. We evaluate DoppioJVM in Chrome 28.0, Firefox 22.0, Safari 6.0.5, Opera 12.16, and Internet Explorer 10, with Internet Explorer 10 running in a Windows 8 virtual machine using the Parallels 8 software.

DoppioJVM is able to successfully execute all of these applications to completion; we did not need to make any modifications to these applications. Figure 3 presents execution times across various browsers versus Oracle’s HotSpot interpreter. DoppioJVM achieves its highest performance on Chrome: compared to the HotSpot interpreter, DoppioJVM runs between 24× and 42× slower (geometric mean: 32×). This performance degradation is explained by two facts: first, DoppioJVM is largely untuned; second, it pays the price for executing on top of JavaScript and inside the browser. By contrast, the HotSpot interpreter is a highly tuned native executable. As Figure 3 shows, Chrome performs better than other browsers across most of the benchmarks we examine. However, it would be problematic to draw any conclusions about Chrome’s superiority with respect to other browsers, as we used Chrome as the development platform for DoppioJVM. As a result, we may have inadvertently made design decisions that benefited Chrome over other browsers.

While running the javap benchmark, we discovered a bug in Safari that causes significant performance degradation. Safari does not properly garbage collect typed arrays; they remain in memory until the browser closes. Doppio’s file system implementation makes heavy use of typed arrays in browsers that support them to efficiently represent binary data. This detail poses a problem for the javap benchmark in this browser, as it manipulates a considerable number of files. As a result, Safari’s memory footprint grows to over 6GB over the course of each javap benchmark run, causing the OS to page memory to disk and degrade DoppioJVM’s performance. We have reported this issue to Apple.

Microbenchmarks: To better understand the performance of DoppioJVM in isolation from Doppio’s operating system abstractions, we evaluate DoppioJVM on two microbenchmarks: DeltaBlue: a one-way constraint solver, and pidigits: a program that calculates the digits of pi. We run 100 iterations of the DeltaBlue benchmark, and we instruct pidigits to calculate the first 200 digits of pi. These single-threaded benchmarks will spend most of their execution time inside DoppioJVM’s interpreter loop, as they do not interact with any of Doppio’s operating system abstractions. However, as we discuss in Section 7.1, DoppioJVM must periodically suspend-and-resume to remain responsive in the browser environment; thus, benchmark runtimes will include time spent suspended.

Figure 3. DoppioJVM’s performance on our benchmark applications relative to the HotSpot JVM interpreter bundled with Java 6. DoppioJVM runs between 24× and 42× slower (geometric mean: 32×) than the HotSpot interpreter in Google Chrome. Note that javap’s poor performance in Safari is due to a browser bug; we discuss this further in Section 6.6.

6.6 Exceptions
The JVM is natively aware of exceptions and exception-handling logic. However, because DoppioJVM uses Doppio to execute as finite-length events, it cannot use JavaScript’s native exception mechanisms to emulate JVM exceptions.

Instead, DoppioJVM emulates JVM exception handling semantics by iterating through its virtual stack representation until it finds a stack frame with an applicable exception handler, or until it empties the stack and exits with an error.

6.7 JVM Objects and Arrays
DoppioJVM maps JVM objects to JavaScript objects, where each object contains a reference to its class and a dictionary that contains all of its fields keyed on their names. JVM arrays are a special type of JVM object; these are mapped to a JavaScript object that contains an array of values and a reference to the special array class that the JVM constructs according to the array’s component type. DoppioJVM takes full advantage of the JavaScript garbage collector, which automatically collects JVM objects when they fall out of scope.

6.8 Interoperability with JavaScript
While DoppioJVM is capable of executing programs entirely written in a JVM language, it can also interoperate with the executing JavaScript environment. DoppioJVM exposes an eval method that lets JVM programs execute snippets of JavaScript. This method returns a JVM String, which contains the return value of the operation coerced into string form. DoppioJVM also makes it possible for a JavaScript program to invoke the JVM much as one would invoke Java on the command line via an API: the programmer specifies the classpath, main class, and arguments, and optionally, custom functions to redirect standard input and output.

7. Evaluation
7.1 Case Study: DoppioJVM
We evaluate DoppioJVM’s completeness and performance on a set of real and unmodified complex JVM programs across a wide variety of browsers. We compare DoppioJVM’s performance to Oracle’s HotSpot JVM interpreter provided with OpenJDK, which is able to run JVM programs in the browser using an applet plugin. While Section 2 describes a variety of systems that bring existing programming languages into the browser, these systems are unable to run our benchmarks, so we are unable to compare their performance to DoppioJVM.

Our benchmarks and their respective workloads are as follows: javap (4KLOC) is the Java disassembler. We run javap on the compiled class files of javac, which comprises 491 class files. We use the version of javap and the class files of javac that ship with OpenJDK 6. javac (44KLOC) is the Java compiler. We run javac on the 19 source files of javac. We use the version of javac that comes bundled with OpenJDK 6, and the source of javac from the same bundle. Rhino (57KLOC) is an implementation of the JavaScript language on the JVM. We run Rhino 1.7 on the recursive and binary-trees programs from the SunSpider 0.9.1 benchmark suite. Kawa-Scheme (121KLOC) is an implementation of the Scheme language on the JVM. We evaluate Kawa-Scheme 1.13 on the nqueens algorithm with input 8.

Our benchmark computer is a Mac Mini running OS X 10.8.4 with a 4-core 2GHz Intel Core i7 processor and 8GB of 1333 MHz DDR3 RAM. We evaluate DoppioJVM in Chrome 28.0, Firefox 22.0, Safari 6.0.5, Opera 12.16, and Internet Explorer 10, with Internet Explorer 10 running in a Windows 8 virtual machine using the Parallels 8 software.

DoppioJVM is able to successfully execute all of these applications to completion; we did not need to make any modifications to these applications. Figure 3 presents execution times across various browsers versus Oracle’s HotSpot interpreter. DoppioJVM achieves its highest performance on Chrome: compared to the HotSpot interpreter, DoppioJVM runs between 24× and 42× slower (geometric mean: 32×). This performance degradation is explained by two facts: first, DoppioJVM is largely untuned; second, it pays the price for executing on top of JavaScript and inside the browser. By contrast, the HotSpot interpreter is a highly tuned native executable.

As Figure 3 shows, Chrome performs better than other browsers across most of the benchmarks we examine. However, it would be problematic to draw any conclusions about Chrome’s superiority with respect to other browsers, as we used Chrome as the development platform for DoppioJVM. As a result, we may have inadvertently made design decisions that benefited Chrome over other browsers.

While running the javap benchmark, we discovered a bug in Safari that causes significant performance degradation. Safari does not properly garbage collect typed arrays; they remain in memory until the browser closes. Doppio’s file system implementation makes heavy use of typed arrays in browsers that support them to efficiently represent binary data. This detail poses a problem for the javap benchmark in this browser, as it manipulates a considerable number of files. As a result, Safari’s memory footprint grows to over 6GB over the course of each javap benchmark run, causing the OS to page memory to disk and degrade DoppioJVM’s performance. We have reported this issue to Apple.

Microbenchmarks: To better understand the performance of DoppioJVM in isolation from Doppio’s operating system abstractions, we evaluate DoppioJVM on two microbenchmarks: DeltaBlue: a one-way constraint solver, and pidigits: a program that calculates the digits of pi. We run 100 iterations of the DeltaBlue benchmark, and we instruct pidigits to calculate the first 200 digits of pi. These single-threaded benchmarks will spend most of their execution time inside DoppioJVM’s interpreter loop, as they do not interact with any of Doppio’s operating system abstractions. However, as we discuss in Section 7.1, DoppioJVM must periodically suspend-and-resume to remain responsive in the browser environment; thus, benchmark runtimes will include time spent suspended.

1See https://bugs.webkit.org/show_bug.cgi?id=119049
For these microbenchmarks, we augment DOPPIO’s suspend-and-resume mechanism to track the amount of time that DOPPIOVM spends in a suspended state. Like in previous benchmarks, we compare against the HotSpot interpreter to measure the performance gap between a native JVM interpreter and DOPPIOVM.

Figure 4 displays DOPPIOVM’s performance across different browsers relative to the HotSpot interpreter. CPU Time represents actual execution time, disregarding suspension time, whereas wall-clock Time measures overall benchmark duration.

Figure 5 displays DOPPIOVM’s suspension time on microbenchmarks as a percentage of total runtime. As described in Section 4.1, DOPPIOVM must periodically suspend to remain responsive in the browser. DOPPIOVM is suspended for less than 2% of execution time in Google Chrome and Safari, suggesting that DOPPIO’s threading facilities are not a significant performance bottleneck.

For these microbenchmarks, we augment DOPPIO’s suspend-and-resume mechanism to track the amount of time that DOPPIOVM spends in a suspended state. Like in previous benchmarks, we compare against the HotSpot interpreter to measure the performance gap between a native JVM interpreter and DOPPIOVM.

Figure 4 displays DOPPIOVM’s performance across different browsers relative to the HotSpot interpreter. CPU Time represents actual execution time, disregarding suspension time, whereas wall-clock Time measures overall benchmark duration. Due to DOPPIO’s fast suspend-and-resume mechanism (described in Section 4.1), DOPPIOVM spends less than 2% of runtime suspended in Google Chrome and Safari for DeltaBlue, and less than 1% for pidigits. This result suggests that DOPPIO’s threading facilities are not a significant performance bottleneck for languages implemented using DOPPIO.

7.2 Case Study 2: DOPPIO and C++

To further demonstrate DOPPIO’s utility and generality, we combined DOPPIO with Emscripten, extending its ability to port C++ applications to the browser. As a case study, we used it to run the C++ game Me and My Shadow in the browser. The Emscripten developers previously ported the core of this game to the web, but the port was incomplete: because Emscripten does not support synchronous dynamic file loading and does not back files to a persistent storage mechanism, the Emscripten demo needs to load all of the game assets into memory prior to execution and does not support game saving.

We modified Emscripten to use the DOPPIO file system, which is able to download the static game assets synchronously as the game requires them, and back the game’s configuration folder to localStorage. We did not need to modify the game in order to do this; we took the same source code that the Emscripten developers used to make their demo, compiled it with our augmented version of Emscripten, and configured the DOPPIO file system to mount the game’s resources and the browser’s persistent storage at appropriate folders in the file system hierarchy. The resulting demo does not preload any files, and is able to write to the file system to save game progress and settings.

7.3 DOPPIO File System

We evaluate the DOPPIO file system on recorded file system calls from DOPPIOVM’s javac benchmark. This benchmark performs 3185 file system operations, touches 1560 unique files, reads over 10.5 megabytes of data, and writes 97 kilobytes of data back to disk. Much of this activity is due to the JVM classloader, which pulls in many individual JVM class files as the program references them. We compare our performance against Node JS running on top of the native file system because it implements the same API as DOPPIO’s file system. The results from this comparison should indicate how well DOPPIO’s file system compares to native file system performance on this particular workload.

Figure 6 displays the results of this benchmark. DOPPIO’s file system performance is only 18% slower than native performance in Internet Explorer 10, and about 2.5× slower in Google Chrome.

8. Discussion

Based on insights gleaned while implementing DOPPIO and the DOPPIOVM, we believe that browsers could add several features that would make it far easier and more efficient for browsers to support conventional languages. These features are limited in scope, are fairly circumscribed in terms of implementation, and we expect they would have little impact on JavaScript programmers or users, while making it far easier to run other languages. By contrast, consider adding multiple threads of execution to JavaScript: while this would ease porting multithreaded applications, it would likely
lead to shared-memory related concurrency errors inside JavaScript applications.

Synchronous message-passing API. A synchronous message-passing API for WebWorkers would allow WebWorkers to subscribe to and periodically check for events through the main JavaScript thread without requiring them to yield the JavaScript thread for event processing. This feature would make it trivial to implement synchronous language functionality in terms of asynchronous browser functionality, as a WebWorker could use the main JavaScript thread to perform the asynchronous operation and periodically poll for a response.

Stack introspection. A sufficiently complete stack introspection mechanism would allow programs to persist their state on the Java heap as objects. Language implementations could then use this feature to implement multithreading and automatic event segmentation without needing to explicitly store the stack state themselves.

Numeric support. Direct support for 64-bit integers would enable languages to efficiently represent a broader range of numeric types in the browser. The DOPPIO JVM uses a comprehensive software implementation of 64-bit integers to bring the long data type into the browser, but it is extremely slow when compared to normal numeric operations in JavaScript.

9. Conclusion

While web browsers have become ubiquitous and so are an attractive target for application developers, they support just one programming language—JavaScript—and offer an idiosyncratic execution environment that lacks many of the features that most programs require, including file systems, blocking I/O, and multiple threads. They also are incredibly diverse, further complicating the task of programming web-based applications.

This paper presents DOPPIO, a runtime system for the browser that breaks the browser language barrier. DOPPIO addresses the challenges needed to execute programs written in general-purpose languages inside the browser by providing key system services and runtime support that abstracts away the many differences across browsers. Using DOPPIO, we built DOPPIO JVM, a proof-of-concept complete implementation of a Java Virtual Machine in JavaScript. DOPPIO JVM makes it possible for the first time to run unmodified, off-the-shelf applications written in a conventional programming language directly inside the browser. DOPPIO JVM is already deployed as the compilation and execution engine for the educational website CodeMoo.com, which teaches students how to program in Java [21]. We further demonstrate DOPPIO’s utility by combining it with Emscripten, extending its ability to port C++ applications to the browser. DOPPIO is available for download at http://www.doppiojvm.org/.
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